

Title IX Training Documentation

Updated 08.14.2020

Training for Members of the Young Harris College Title IX Team

DATE	TRAINING	ATTENDEES	TYPE
2/4/2020	Trauma Informed investigation	Julie Payne	Webinar
	(1 hour)	Marti Slaughter	Webinar
		Leeah Hughes	Webinar
		Marti Slaughter	Webinar
3/11/2020	Reid Investigative Techniques	Hope Clark	In person
	(6 hours)	Leeah Hughes	In person
		Jackie Lewis-Burton	In person
		Ryan Reinhart	In person
		Robbie Rich	In person
		Marti Slaughter	In person
		Laura Whitaker-Lea	In person
5/4/2020	Clery Act (1 hour)	Leeah Hughes	Webinar
5/12/2020	Title IX-Final Rules-Part I (1 hour)	Laura Whitaker-Lea	Webinar
· .	Title IX-Final Rules-Part II (1.5 hours)	Laura Whitaker-Lea	Webinar
	Title IX-Final Rules-Part III (1.5 hours)	Laura Whitaker-Lea	Webinar
	Title IX-Final Rules-Part IV (1.5 hours)	Laura Whitaker-Lea	Recorded
	Title IX-Final Rules-Part V (1.5 hours)	Laura Whitaker-Lea	Webinar
8/3/2020	Title IX Training Investigator Training	Diane Bauman	In person
	(6 hours: Parts I-III=2 hours,	Marti Slaughter	In person
	Part IV=1 hour. Part V=2 hours)	Leeah Hughes	In person
	·	Julie Payne	in person
		Laura Whitaker-Lea	In person
		Ryan Reinhart	In person
		Jackie Lewis Burton	In person
		Melissa Wall	In person
		Tyler Zettle	In person
		Jennifer Schroeder	In person
		Michelle Gernannt	In person
		Steven Rivera	In person
		Eugene Burton	In person
		Hope Clark	In person
		Matt Bruen	In person



2020 Webinar Training

Title IX - Trauma Informed Investigation and Questioning

(1 Hour Webinar – February 4, 2020)

AGENDA

Dynamics of Sexual Violence

- Common characteristics
- · Reasons for not reporting

Fact Finding

- OCR guidelines
- Current legal cases/precedent
- Institution's policies and procedures
- Dynamics of sexual violence and violation
- History of legal and law enforcement response
- Student perceptions of sexual violence
- · Campus climate data
- Understanding of gender socialization
- · Understanding of trauma
- Your own biases

Basics of Trauma

- Review
- Intersectionality

Specific Skills and Techniques for Interviewing

- Open ending questioning
- Environmental considerations
- Consent questions
- Detail questions
- Clarification questions or reflective statements

Vicarious and Secondary Trauma

Action Items

Resources

Presenter: Presenter: Carol Mosely, MA

Carol served as the Healthy Campus Project Director for the Georgia Healthy Campus Initiative, 2017 - 2019. Prior to that initiative, Carol was a Director at We End Violence, a company that provided sexual violence prevention materials and training to higher education institutions and the U.S. military. Carol was the Director of the Rape Prevention Education Program at the University of California at Santa Barbara for 12 years. During that time, she was also a Subject Matter Expert for California POST (Peace Officers Standards and Training), serving on the team that developed and provided training on trauma-informed investigations.

Presenter: Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP

The Reid Technique of Investigative Interviewing and Positive Persuasion

Presented by
Joseph P. Buckley
President

JOHN E. REID AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
209 W. Jackson Blvd. Suite 400
Chicago, Illinois 60606
800-255-5747
312-583-0700
info@reid.com
www.reid.com

March 11, 2020 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Brenau University

Training program consists of three primary topics:

- 1. Behavior Symptom Analysis
- 2. The Behavior Analysis Interview
- 3. The Seven Steps of Positive Persuasion

Behavior Symptom Analysis

During this segment of the program we discuss the verbal and nonverbal behavior symptoms that are displayed by a person who is telling the truth during a non-accusatory interview, as well as those displayed by a person who is fabricating or withholding relevant information. The specific behaviors discussed include attitude; posture; significant posture changes; grooming, personal and protective gestures; eye contact; and, verbal responses. The ability to recognize and evaluate these behaviors becomes particularly important in those cases in which the available investigative information does not definitively establish the credibility of the subject.

At the conclusion of this segment of the program, the participant will have a behavioral profile for both the truthful and deceptive individual that can be used as a model for the evaluation of subjects in future investigative interviews.

The Behavior Analysis Interview

Most investigative interviews consist of two types of questions – investigative and behavior provoking. Investigative questions concern the subject's version of events, alibi or activities at the time in question, developed by the traditional who, what, where, when, why and how type of questions. Behavior provoking questions are ones that are used to assess the subject's truthfulness by evaluating the nature of their response. Truthful people answer the behavior provoking questions one way, while a deceptive person usually offers a different verbal response. During this segment of the program we will discuss how to phrase and ask the behavior provoking questions and describe the type of answers to anticipate from the truthful and deceptive individuals.

The Steps of Positive Persuasion

Through the use of understanding, logic, empathy and rationalization the investigator presents persuasive statements to motivate the subject to want to tell the truth. The process involves Stating your Position; Developing Persuasive Statements; Overcoming Resistance; Addressing the subject's Fears and Concerns; the Closing; Establishing the Details; and Document the Subject's Statement.

Information about John E. Reid and Associates

John E. Reid and Associates began developing interview and interrogation techniques in 1947. The Reid Technique is now the most widely used approach to question subjects in the world. The content of our instructional material has continued to develop and change over the years.

- U. S. Supreme Court Recognition In June 2004, in the case of Missouri v. Seibert, the United State Supreme Court referenced our company and our book, Criminal Interrogation and Confessions, as examples of law enforcement resources that offered proper training. In 1994 the United States Supreme Court referenced our textbook, Criminal Interrogation and Confessions, in making their decision in the case Stansbury v. California. Courts throughout the country have recognized The Reid Technique as the leading interview and interrogation approach used today in both the law enforcement and business communities.
- Government Recognition Our expertise on the topic of behavior symptom analysis, interviewing and interrogation techniques was recognized by the National Security Agency which awarded John E. Reid and Associates (in conjunction with Michigan State University) a sole source bid for a scientific study on the use of behavior symptoms in the detection of deception. The results of the study were published in the Journal of Forensic Sciences.
- Exonerating the Innocent Over the years John E. Reid and Associates has assisted the Innocence Project (New York) on several cases as expert witnesses on proper interview and interrogation techniques, as well as the exoneration of one of their clients by obtaining a confession from the actual offender. This case was detailed in the story, "I Did It" in New York magazine (http://www.reid.com/pdfs/ididit.pdf). We have also assisted other attorneys (for example, Kathleen Zellner) in wrongful conviction cases.
- Reid as the Gold Standard In the Netflix series, Making a Murder Part 2, (2018) the interrogation of Brendan Dassey is discussed by Attorney Steve Drizen and Attorney Laura Nirider during the first 15 minutes of Episode 2. (Attorneys Drizin and Nirider represent Dassey in his appeals). The two attorneys are shown on screen giving a presentation to lawyers at Northwestern University Law School, discussing the Brendan Dassey interrogation. During their presentation they reference John E. Reid and Associates as the benchmark for proper interrogation practices and procedures.
- Reid Featured on the Oxygen Channel December 8, 2018 John E. Reid and Associates was featured in the series,

 Criminal Confessions, on the Oxygen channel. "Detectives were unable to generate any new leads ... they decided to "try and re-interview Chris to get at the truth," said Detective Hall. Before bringing Christopher back in, however, they contacted cold case homicide interview expert Rich Byington, who is a senior investigator with leading interrogation company John E. Reid & Associates. Using the Reid technique a three-part process that includes Fact Analysis, Behavior Analysis Interview and the Reid Nine Steps of Interrogation, Byington was able to get Christopher to open up about the night his parents were murdered. The episode is entitled Tazwell, VA and can be found at https://www.oxygen.com/criminal-confessions/season-2/tazewell-va
- The Authoritative Text Our book, <u>Criminal Interrogation and Confessions</u> (5th edition, 2013) is considered by the courts and practitioners to be the "Bible" for interviewing and interrogation techniques. The book has been translated into numerous foreign languages.
- Staff Experience- The accumulated experience level of our instructors exceeds 250 years, during which time they have conducted over 75,000 interviews and interrogations. Many of the staff hold a Masters of Science Degree in the Detection of Deception.
- Courts Support the Core Values of the Reid Technique The core values of the Reid Technique include the following:
 - Always treat the subject with dignity and respect
 - · Always conduct interviews and interrogations in accordance with the guidelines established by the courts
 - Do not make any promises of leniency or threats of harm or inevitable consequences
 - Do not conduct interrogations for an excessively lengthy period of time
 - Do not deny the subject any of their rights
 - Do not deny the subject the opportunity to satisfy their physical needs
 - Exercise special cautions when questioning juveniles or individuals with mental or psychological impairments

Best Practices - The successful interrogation is one in which (1) the suspect tells the truth to the investigator and, (2) persuasive tactics used to learn the truth are legally acceptable. With these goals in mind, the following are a list of best practices for applying the Reid Nine Steps of Interrogation (a more detailed discussion of these Best Practices can be found on our website at http://www.reid.com/pdfs/20180126.pdf)

- Conduct an interview before any interrogation.
- Conduct an interrogation only when there is a reasonable belief that the suspect is guilty or withholding relevant information.
- Consider a suspect's behavior in conjunction with case facts and evidence.
- Attempt to verify the suspect's alibi before conducting an interrogation.
- A single investigator should be the lead communicator.
- When interrogating a non-custodial suspect, do not deprive the suspect from his freedom to leave the room.
- Do not conduct excessively long interrogations.
- Exercise extreme caution when interrogating juveniles, suspects with a lower intelligence or suspects with mental impairments.
- When using interrogation tactics involving deception the investigator should not manufacture evidence against the suspect.
- When a suspect claims to have little or no memory for the time period when the crime was committed the investigator should not lie to the suspect concerning incriminating evidence.
- Do not reveal to the suspect all information known about the crime.
- Attempt to elicit information from the suspect about the crime that was unknown to the investigator.
- The confession is not the end of the investigation.

Clients include

PRIVATE	GOVERNMENT	POLICE
ABBOTT LABS	AIR FORCE	ALASKA STATE PD
AT&T	ATF	AMTRAK RR PD
AETNA LIFE and CASUALTY	CIA	ANCHORAGE PD
AMERICAN EXPRESS	DEA .	BOSTON PD
AMERICAN HONDA	DEPT. OF DEFENSE	CHICAGO PD
AMOCO OIL	DEPT. OF EDUCATION	CINCINNATI PD
BAXTER DIAGNOSTICS	DEPT. OF ENERGY	DALLAS PD
BAUSH & LOMB	DEPT. OF LABOR	DENVER PD
BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD	DEPT. OF JUSTICE	DETROIT PD
BRINKS	DEPT. OF STATE	HOUSTON PD
BURGER KING CORP.	EEOC	ILLINOIS STATE PD
COACH, INC	EPA	INDIANAPOLIS PD
DOW CHEMICAL	FAA	INDIANA STATE PD
DUPONT	FBI	LAS VEGAS PD
EASTMAN KODAK	FDA	LOS ANGELES PD
FEDERAL EXPRESS	FLETC	LOUISIANA STATE PD
FORD MOTOR COMPANY	HOMELAND SECURITY	MARYLAND STATE PD
GATEWAY COMPUTER	IRS	METRO D.C. PD
GENERAL MOTORS	NUCLEAR REG. COMMISSION	MIAMI PD
IBM	OSHA	NEW YORK PD
JC PENNEY COMPANY	POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE	OKLAHOMA CITY PD
KROGER	SECRET SERVICE	PHILADELPHIA PD
KMART	TSA	PHOENIX PD
MOTOROLA	US ARMY	PITTSBURGH PD
NOKIA	US COAST GUARD	SALT LAKE CITY PD
SEARS	US MARINE CORPS	SAN FRANCISCO PD
VERIZON	US NAVY	TEXAS RANGERS



2020 Webinar Training

Clery Act

(1 Hour Webinar - May 4, 2020)

AGENDA

Four Cornerstones of Clery Act

- Annual statistics
- Daily crime log
- Timely warnings/emergency notifications
- Policy/procedure disclosures)

Department of Education Audit Process

- · Key areas of interest when auditing
- How tests for compliance

Strategies to improve compliance Questions and Answers Resources

Presenter: John Graff, J.D.

John is a partner at Hirsch Roberts Weinstein LLP in Boston where he assists colleges and universities throughout the country with legal and operational issues related to campus safety. John frequently advises and represents institutions under audit by the Department of Education's Clery Act compliance unit, assists schools in developing Clery compliance programs and policies, and provides Clery assessment/audit services to clients. John also conducts Title IX investigations involving allegations against institution executives and advises schools on Title IX compliance.



2020 Webinar Training

Title IX - Final Rules - Part I

(1 Hour Webinar - May 12, 2020)

AGENDA

Title IX — Final Rules Overview

Using NACUA Regulatory Grid

Focus:

- Definitions
- General Obligations
- Triggering Events

Utilized:

· Sample template policy to begin inserting language into policy

Discussed:

- Visibility of Title IX Policy and Title IX Coordinator
- Language re: policy under review
- Coordinating changes with institutional stakeholders
 - IT
 - .HR
 - Academics
 - Clery Administrator

Presenter: Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP



2020 Webinar Training

Title IX - Final Rules - Part II

(1 ½ Hour Webinar - May 19, 2020)

AGENDA

Title IX – Final Rules Overview

Using NACUA Regulatory Grid

Focus:

Pre-hearing investigations

Utilized:

Sample template policy to begin inserting language into policy

Discussed:

- Forms to be developed
- Standard of Evidence
- Coordinating changes with institutional stakeholders
 - IT
 - HR
 - Academics
 - Clery Administrator

Presenter: Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP



2020 Webinar Training

Title IX - Final Rules - Part III

(1 ½ Hour Webinar – May 26, 2020)

AGENDA

Title IX – Final Rules Overview

Using NACUA Regulatory Grid

Focus:

- Formal Resolution
- Informal Resolution

Utilized:

Sample template policy to begin inserting language into policy

Discussed:

- Role of Advisors
- · Forms to be developed
- Recruiting Advisors

Presenter: Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP



2020 Webinar Training

Title IX - Final Rules - Part IV

(1 1/2 Hour Webinar - June 2, 2020)

AGENDA

Title IX – Final Rules

Overview

Using NACUA Regulatory Grid

Focus:

- Appeals
- Retaliation
- Record Retention
- Notifications

Utilized:

Sample template policy to begin inserting language into policy

Discussed:

- Roll-out of policy to stakeholders
- Forms to be developed
- Involvement with stakeholders

Presenter: Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP



2020 Webinar Training

Title IX - Final Rules - Part IV

(1 ½ Hour Webinar – June 9, 2020)

AGENDA

Title IX – Final Rules Overview

Using NACUA Regulatory Grid and Preamble

Focus:

• Review of NACUA Regulatory Grid and Preamble (95 page document)

Utilized:

Sample template policy to begin inserting language into policy

Discussed:

- Roll-out of policy to stakeholders
- · Forms to be developed
- Involvement with stakeholders
- Roles of Advisors
- Role of Hearing Panel Chair/Coordinator

Presenter: Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP



2020 In-Person and Webinar Training

Title IX - Investigator Training - Parts I, II, and III

(3 Hour In-Person, Webinar, and Videotaped - August 2020)

AGENDA

Title IX Investigator Training - Part I

Definitions

Sexual Harassment

Education Program or Activity

Off-Campus Student Organizations

Formal Complaint

Complainant

Respondent

Jurisdiction

Triggering Event

Actual Knowledge

Official with Authority

Mandatory Reporter/Responsible Employee

No Formal Complaint

Filing by Title IX Coordinator

Unwilling Complainant

Unknown Respondent

Dismissal of Complaints

Mandatory Dismissal

Discretionary Dismissal

Non-Title IX Proceedings

Standard of Evidence

Preponderance of Evidence

Clear and Convincing

Same Standards

Emergency Removal

Purpose

Individualized assessment

Administrative Leave

Supportive Measures

Definition

Offered to Complainant and Respondent; offered to student and employee Restore/Preserve Equal Access

Training Materials Publication Requirements Overview

Publication Requirement

Up-to-date Training

Title IX Investigator Training - Part II

Informal Resolution

Definition

Not Permitted Use – condition of employment or enrollment

Not Permitted Use - Employee sexually harasses student

Investigative Process

No Conflict of Interest or Bias

Reasonably Prompt Time Frames

Written Notice of Allegations

Rights of Complainant and Respondent

No Gag Order

Inspect and Review Evidence

Preliminary Report

Communication with Advisor, if any

Investigative Report

Communication with Advisor, if any

Role of Advisor

Advisor of Choice

Restrictions

Rules of Decorum

Title IX Investigator Training - Part III

Live Hearing

Must Have

Options - Informal Resolution

Decision-Maker

Definition

Parties Physically Present

Recording

Questions of Parties and Witnesses by Advisor

Cross-Examination

Must Submit

Relevant Questions

Determination of Relevancy

Rape Shield Protection

Hearsay

Medical Records Exception Privilege Exception Written Determination Content

Remedies

Appeal

Both Parties Can Appeal Grounds for Appeal Additional Bases for Appeal Requirements for Appeal

Resources Provided

OCR and Title IX https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/tix_dis.html

Joint Guidance on Title IX https://system.suny.edu/sci/tix2020/

Presenter: Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP



2020 In-Person and Webinar Training

Title IX - Investigator Training - Part IV

(1 Hour In-Person, Webinar, and Videotaped - August 2020)
AGENDA

Title IX Investigator Training – Part IV

ANSI/ASIS INV.1.-2015 – Investigation Standards

(Discussed relevancy of ANSI/ASIS INV.1.-2015 Investigation Standards to Title IX Investigations)

What a lawsuit will focus on if allegation of improper investigation:

- Does the institution have a policy/procedure in place to govern investigations?
- · Was there a written disclosure of allegation?
- Was there disclosure of objective purpose of investigation?
- Were the investigator(s) identified?
 - o Documentation of education, training, previous experience
- Were both parties provided the same opportunity to tell their story to the investigator, provide documentation, review record, present their story to the hearing panel, cross-examine witnesses, and appeal?

Overview

Investigation Defined
Investigation Framework
Plan-Do-Check-Act Model
Investigation Guiding Principles
Information Types

Testimonial

Documentary

Physical

Direct Evidence

Circumstantial Evidence

Forensic Evidence

Hearsay Evidence

Admissibility of Evidence

Materiality of Evidence

Establishing Investigation Scope

Establishing Investigation Roles
Establishing Legal Requirements
Investigator Competency Defined
Investigator Competency Skills
Prior to Investigation Commencing
Investigator's Information Collection Role
Preparing Investigation Conclusions
Evaluating Investigation Outcomes

Resources Provided

https://www.asisonline.org/publications--resources/standards--guidelines/ Each GICA Compliance Collaborative member institution was provided with one copy of these proprietary standards.

Presenter: Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP



2020 In-Person and Webinar Training

Title IX – Investigator Training – Part V

(2 Hour In-Person, Webinar, and Videotaped August 2020)
AGENDA

Title IX Investigator Training - Part V

Total of 11 videos were written by Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP, GICA Compliance Collaborative Program Consultant. Videos produced by DMZ Productions; copyright held by Spain and Spain, Inc., and licensed to GICA.

For this training, six of the videos were used. The remaining 5 videos are used in the Title IX Hearing Panel Member Training (September 2020).

Attendees watched the videos and then participated in discussion regarding the videos.

Videos show various steps in the Title IX investigation process. They are designed to develop investigator interview competency skills; to expand attendees knowledge of the new Title IX process; to promote discussion regarding what went right/what went wrong in the interviews and the process; to assist attendees to determine what could be an interview sequence; to encourage discussion regarding how to ask follow-up questions to determine facts and credibility of the interviewee; and to understand the need for standardization of process of opening/closing an interview by explaining the Title IX process, options for reporting/not reporting, next steps, Supportive Measures, providing handouts, etc.

Scene 1 – Complainant's Initial Meeting (Take 1)

Actors:

Complainant – Jennifer Shore Title IX Coordinator – Shelia Fox

Date:

Monday, October 7, 2019

9:00 am

Synopsis: Complainant met with Title IX Coordinator; Coordinator acted outside of Title IX boundaries

Scene 2 - Complainant's Initial Meeting (Take 2)

Actors:

Complainant – Jennifer Shore Title IX Coordinator – Shelia Fox

Date:

Monday, October 7, 2019 9:00 am

Synopsis: Complainant met with Title IX Coordinator; Coordinator stopped meeting midconversation; pulled in Title IX Investigators

Scene 3 - Complainant's Interview

Actors:

Complainant – Jennifer Shore Title IX Investigator – Abigail Thomas

Date:

Monday, October 7, 2019

9:00 am

Synopsis: Complainant met with Title IX Investigator(s); Investigator(s) conducted interview

Scene 4 - Respondent's Interview

Actors:

Respondent – Curtis Tripp Title IX Investigator – Abigail Thomas

Date:

Wednesday, October 9, 2019 3:00 pm

Synopsis: Respondent met with Title IX Investigator(s); Investigator(s) conducted interview

Scene 5 - Davenport's Interview

Actors:

Front desk employee at Camden Hall/student – Ashton Davenport Title IX Investigator – Abigail Thomas

Date:

Friday, October 11, 2019 10:30 am

Synopsis: Davenport met with Title IX Investigator(s); Investigator(s) conducted interview

Scene 6 - Montgomery's Interview

Actors:

Complainant's roommate – Lauren Montgomery Title IX Investigator – Abigail Thomas

Date:

Monday, October 14, 2019 10:30 am

Synopsis: Montgomery met with Title IX Investigator(s); Investigator(s) conducted interview

Author and Presenter: Judy Spain, J.D., CCEP